

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.                             | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|------------------|
| 09/509,969         | 04/03/2000       | FABIEN BATTINI       | RCA90121  | 6724             |
| 24498              | 7590 11/19/2004  |                      | EXAM  | INER             |
| THOMSON            | N MULTIMEDIA LIC | SHIMIZU, MATSUICHIRO |   |                  |
| JOSEPH S T         | RIPOLI           |                      |   |                  |
| PO BOX 5312        |                  |                      | ART UNIT  | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 2 INDEPENDENCE WAY |                  |                      | 2635  |                  |
| PRINCETO           | N, NJ 08543-5312 |                      | D. M. D. J. |                  |

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/509,969  | BATTINI ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  | Matsuichiro Shimizu   | 2635  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |   |   |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED on 9/20/04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.                                      |   |   |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |   |  |  |  |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |   |   |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or simplifying the  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection  | tion(s):  |   |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed amendment   |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:   | reconsideration has been consi  | dered but does NOT place the  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ause it is not directed SOLELY t  | o issues which were newly   |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we  |   |   |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   | •   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 5-12.   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app   | roved or b) disapproved by t  | he Examiner.  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |   |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |
| ,  |   |   |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: "control function type associated with each of said at least one control function, from among a plurality of control function types" in claims 1, 8 and 10 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

MICHAEL HORABIK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800